

International Journal of Emerging Trends in Computer Science and Information Technology

ISSN: 3050-9246 | https://doi.org/10.63282/3050-9246.IJETCSIT-V3I1P109 Eureka Vision Publication | Volume 3, Issue 1, 75-85, 2022

Original Article

Bridging the Gap: Integrating DevOps Culture into Traditional IT Structures

Hitesh Allam Software Engineer at Concor IT, USA.

Abstract - Companies under more pressure than ever to innovate too quickly while maintaining these operational stability in the always shifting digital world of the present day, thereby underscoring the ongoing struggle between traditional IT systems and the agile, team approach of DevOps. While DevOps shines in constant delivery, multidisciplinary cooperation, and a culture of experimentation, conventional IT frequently values control, predictability, and these hierarchical decision-making's. Aiming to successfully incorporate DevOps concepts into conventional IT systems, this article investigates the alignment of two apparently conflicting paradigms. Supported by empirical case studies, we perform a conceptual analysis to explore the basic resistance elements in conventional IT, including rigid processes and the isolated teams, and show how companies have overcome these kinds of challenges by intentional cultural, structural, and technological changes.

According to the studies, integration works best when companies adopt a tiered strategy including cross-training, executive sponsorship, and the building of shared KPIs matching development and their operations teams. Our findings show that breaking over this cultural barrier not only improves these system reliability and deployment frequency but also team morale and organizational agility. This article is a useful guide for IT managers hoping to transform their companies with a strategy that respects the fundamental traits of traditional IT while using DevOps' agility without interfering with important operations. Successful integration of these techniques improves firms' responsiveness to market requirements, lowers time-to-value, and creates more strong, future-ready IT ecosystems.

Keywords - DevOps, Traditional IT, Legacy Systems, Agile Transformation, CI/CD, Cultural Shift, IT Operations, Automation, Change Management, Organizational Structure, ITIL, Hybrid IT, Enterprise Transformation, DevOps Integration, Digital Transformation.

1. Introduction

In the fast developing field of technology, the tension between tradition & these innovations is common, especially in huge companies where change usually happens at a planned and intentional pace. One clear example of this is the support for incorporating DevOps ideas into these traditional IT architectures. First look at it looks to be a natural progression: faster deployments, improved collaboration, and their continuous development. But when DevOps comes across established IT systems marked by rigid hierarchies, waterfall approaches, and segregated departments, the integration comes from a flawless improvement into a cultural conflict.

1.1. The legacy of conventional information technology

Conventional IT departments have operated under well defined norms and clear roles for decades. Development, testing, operations, and support were separate worlds with minimal contact. Often driven by the waterfall paradigm, this approach gave careful preparation, sequential execution top priority along with risk reduction. Approvals were numerous, modifications came slowly, and deployment periods may span several months. Designed for stability and predictability, this approach sometimes resulted in operational capability conflicts, bottlenecks, and misunderstanding. With each team focused on its own objectives, benchmarks, and schedules, siloed systems became very common. Developers wrote code, then submitted it to testers who sent it to operations for use. Especially when problems developed, this series of changes sometimes led to conflict, delays, and a lack of

group responsibility. This approach worked for years, particularly when systems were less complex and software upgrades were scarce, but it started to show flaws as the need for faster innovation grew.

1.2. The Ascendancy of DevOps

DevOps then first surfaced. Late in the 2000s, DevOps developed as a reaction to dissatisfaction with many other current inefficiencies. Beyond simple technology and automation, the project emphasized the value of culture, teamwork & their shared responsibility all through the software life from creation to deployment and beyond. The basic idea was simple yet revolutionary: break down silos, promote group projects, and always improve by means of feedback systems. Devops brought a new paradigm. It argued for constant delivery (CI/CD) instead of extended release cycles and for ongoing integration. It encouraged more crossfunctional teams wherein operational staff members and the developers shared responsibility instead of a clear separation of work. Agile ideas were used, producing an iterative, faster approach for building and maintaining their software. Devops' cultural roots include trust, openness, and adaptability values frequently at odds with the control-centric environment of conventional IT.



Figure 1. The Ascendancy of DevOps

1.3. The Interaction of Cultures

Including DevOps into a traditional IT setup is not as easy as turning on a switch. Conflicts both culturally & their functionally are inevitable. Long-standing teams may view DevOps as a threat to their roles or as an irresponsible focus on speed at the price of their stability. Management could show resistance because of worries about interfering with processes that, while ineffective, are seen as consistent. Legacy systems may not fit modern automation technology & security teams can object to frequent by these kinds of changes. This cultural struggle shows itself in concrete disappointments, project delays, and failed transitions; it is not merely theoretical. While traditional IT is based on control and prudence, DevOps calls for experimentation and fast response. Dealing with this difference calls for a thorough review of team dynamics, communication strategies, and the success criteria rather than just accepting the latest technology.

1.4. Goals and Scope of This Research

This article seeks to look at practical means of harmonizing the apparently conflicting domains of traditional IT and Devops. We seek to answer a fundamental question: How can big companies adopt DevOps culture without eliminating the existing systems and processes still serving important corporate purposes? We will focus on significant companies entities with their sophisticated infrastructure, established IT departments, and strict compliance requirements that fit this profile. We will look at the nuances of change management and examine how leaders could encourage many cultural alignment and overcome resistance. We will look at hybrid adoption approaches wherein DevOps is gradually included with these traditional processes. The goal is not to reject DevOps and conventional IT but rather to create a balanced strategy that preserves the benefits of both fields while

encouraging these improved agility, efficiency, and collaboration by means of which both domains may remain valuable. Not only is closing the gap possible, but companies trying to remain competitive in the digital revolution age must do so.

2. Theoretical Foundations

Understanding how DevOps fits into traditional IT environments calls for looking at the processes & these ideas influencing both fields. The traditional IT architecture, the basic DevOps ideas, and the cultural aspects influencing organizational change adaption are investigated in this section.

2.1. Standard IT Frameworks

Most companies used a very strict and segregated approach for handling their technological teams before DevOps. Development, Operations, and Quality Assurance (QA) was the separation separating the traditional IT department. Every group has many other different responsibilities and seldom works closely enough among one another.

- Separated Divisions: Under traditional models, developers wrote the code, sent it to Quality Assurance for review, then turned it over to operations for use and maintenance. Though theoretically this seemed methodical, it frequently led to major communication breakdowns. Every team developed unique goals and success criteria. While operations stressed system dependability, developers sought to speed the release of the latest features while QA focused on problem identification. These different goals encouraged postponement and blaming if problems emerged.
- **Rigid Protocols:** Many other companies have used frameworks like ITIL (Information Technology Infrastructure Library) and the Waterfall model to help lower risks and preserve stability. These approaches stressed careful planning, thorough documentation, and the methodical execution in turn. Under Waterfall, a project moves in a predefined order: requirement collection, design, execution, testing, and application. For long-term projects with clearly defined goals, this approach worked effectively; unfortunately, it was not able to adjust to growing client demands and evolving these technologies.
- Risk Aversion and Resistance to Change: The virtue of conventional IT systems is their economy. Any change that of the latest instrument or system improvement is considered as a prospective risk. The usual approach was to change advisory groups, long approval processes, and thorough testing cycles. These rules aimed to provide stability, but they often hampered growth and annoyed teams ready to be more innovative. Emerging as a major barrier to the acceptance of more agile, modern methods was this dislike of change resulting from a fear of failure.

2.2. Development Principles in Devops

Constraints of traditional IT led to DevOps as a fix. DevOps encourages a more dynamic and cooperative approach in the creation and the administration of software systems rather than working in isolation and under tight rules.

- Essential Ideas: Cooperation, Automaton, and Continuous Delivery: Devops seeks to essentially remove barriers between teams. From the beginning of a project, developers, operational staff, testers, and the security professionals work together. Faster feedback cycles, fewer misunderstandings, and better general results follow from this close teamwork. Another key element is automation. Automated pipelines that can repeatedly and reliably do manual operations such as code testing, integration, and deployment replaces them. This reduces human error and speeds the distribution process. Constant delivery (CD) means that, thanks to automated testing and deployment practices, software may be supplied to consumers at any other time. This helps companies to quickly handle customer needs, fix problems quickly, and regularly apply the latest features.
- Pipelines and DevOps Tool Chains: DevOps relies on a suite of tools meant to enable integration, testing, deployment, and monitoring. These kinds of technologies form a pipeline, a sequential system allowing code to flow naturally from development to production. Among the common technologies are Git for version control, Jenkins for ongoing integration, Docker for containerization, and Kubernetes for deployment management. The aim is to provide a continuous workflow in which every change is automatically tested and implemented, therefore improving the efficiency of the release process and lowering the possibility of these mistakes.
- Changes in Calibre, Velocity, and Efficiency: DevOps-using companies typically find notable results. Development cycles are shortened, system downtime is reduced, and software quality is raised. Teams spend more time giving value to

customers than they do in fixing many other problems. These benefits make DevOps especially appealing to companies trying to be competitive in a fast changing digital world.

2.3. Cultural Aspects

Adopting DevOps goes beyond simple application of fresh technology or team restructuring to create a cultural change. Usually the most difficult part of the procedure is changing people's attitudes and cooperative methods.

- Conway's Rule: Conway's Law holds that companies design systems reflecting their internal communication structures. Teams that function alone and barely interact will have their systems reflect that discord. DevOps responds to this by encouraging daily teamwork across cross-functional teams. Improved design of the developing systems follows from improved communication.
- Organization Behaviour Models: Executives who want to properly use DevOps have to understand organizational behavior and change. Models stressing the importance of creating urgency, building a steering coalition, and supporting the latest behaviors include Lewin's Change Management Model (unfreeze-change-refreeze) and Kotter's 8-Step Change Model. These models help companies keep momentum and plan their transition involving staff.
- **Resistance of Cultural Change:** Notwithstanding the benefits, many other companies struggle to implement DevOps because of cultural resistance. Workers could take in the loss of control, work importance, or stability. Interventions into present activities might worry managers. Overcoming this resistance calls for open communication, ongoing education, and support of leaders. It is crucial to show how DevOps enhances rather than replaces people's roles and contributions.

3. Integration Framework

Including DevOps into traditional IT architectures does not mean destroying the current to build the latest. It addresses the merging of two worlds: integrating the flexibility and the collaboration promoted by DevOps with the dependability and oversight of legacy systems. Beginning with assessment and ending with organizational change, this section outlines a sensible approach for reaching good integration.

3.1. Stage of Evaluation

It is important to understand the current situation before introducing any latest instruments or practices. This is when an extensive review is required.

- Examining Historical Environment: Begin by reviewing your present IT configuration. This involves understanding the linkages of your systems, seeing current dependencies, and deciding the most important areas for your operations are more than merely compiling hardware or software. It entails realizing outdated methods that could hinder modern approaches. Still do your deployments take place manually? Are the systems much segmentally divided? Is anybody opposing automation? These realizations will define what is realistic and what is aspirational.
- Acknowledging Process Limitations: Once you have a map of your surroundings, concentrate especially on the areas of
 worry. Review your current processes especially with reference to operations, testing, deployment, and the software
 development. Where most often do delays take place? Given its human nature, the testing phase might be postponing their
 releases. On the other hand, operational staff and engineers could not communicate much, which would lead to regular
 misalignments. Understanding these challenges helps one to choose which DevOps techniques should be employed first to
 maximize their value.

3.2. Maturity Frameworks and Preparedness

It's totally okay as not many other companies are as ready for DevOps as others. To know your location and plan your journey correctly.

Development of DevOps Maturity Models: DevOps maturity models help you to evaluate your current skills and create
a development road. Among these models are often automation, teamwork, measurement, and sharing. While showing
shortcomings in cultural elements (e.g., rigid team borders), your company may have strong automation capabilities—that
is, employing CI technologies. Using a maturity model promotes reflection rather than point accumulation. Where do you

- now live? Your intended place is what? Your way of getting to that place is what? This transparency helps to control these expectations and enable the sharing of development across different departments and stakeholders.
- Evaluations of Change Preparedness: The people have to be as well even if the technologies are ready. Change readiness assessments assess how psychologically & structurally ready your teams are for the DevOps move. Are team members open to using the latest tools? Do managers understand the value of cross-functional teamwork? These assessments could guide your efforts on time. Should the preparation fall short, it would be wise to begin with knowledge and little successes to build momentum and confidence.

3.3. Combining Models

One common mistake is thinking you have to decide between running a "traditional IT shop" or a "DevOps shop." Actually, hybrid models are not only possible but also rather necessary.

- Two-modal Information Technology: Gartner used the phrase "bimodal IT" to separate two concurrent IT approaches: Whereas Mode 2 stresses agility and speed (DevOps), Mode 1 stresses stability and durability (conventional). Both might coexist at once. While customer-facing applications leverage DevOps approaches for fast iterations, your basic financial systems could run on a traditional design. Preventing conflicts depends on clearly defining boundaries and integration points across several modalities.
- ITIL and COBIT Frameworks: Devops: DevOps is not about giving up controlled governance. It may improve COBIT (Control Objectives for Information and Related Technologies) and ITIL, the Information Technology Infrastructure Library. With DevOps automation, ITIL offers ordered norms for incident & change management that may be strengthened. COBIT's governance principles might combine risk management with corporate goals and the DevOps techniques. Rather than contradicting, these models might help to enable the suitable DevOps deployment.

3.4. Tool and technological alignment

Tools are a vital component of DevOps; yet, the focus should still be on enabling improved teamwork & faster feedback instead of just using the latest technology.

- CI/CD Instruments in Conventional Contextures: Although it is doable, integrating Continuous Integration and Continuous Deployment (CI/CD) technologies into a traditional IT system might appear challenging. Begin modestly—perhaps by integrating automated testing or automating builds. Choose tools that mesh well with your present system. Jenkins, GitLab CI, Azure, Many times, DevOps may be adjusted to fit these outdated systems with suitable setup. The aim is to steadily broaden the use of automation in areas where it offers maximum value.
- Reliability Comparative Study of Current and Historical Platforms: Many other outdated systems cannot be changed quickly, hence compatibility becomes really important. Look for tools and systems offering APIs, plugins, or integration layers. Legacy systems may interface with cloud-native services via message queues or service buses, therefore avoiding total modernization requirements. One other approach to look at is containerizing. Though the software is not cloud-native, containerizing it helps to increase dependency management and aid to improve deployment standardization.

3.5. Reorganizational Change in Organizations

Devops goes beyond technology to include people and processes. This suggests that you will most likely have to rethink team roles and organization.

- Development of Roles: Platform Teams and Site Reliability Engineers: Conventional roles like system managers or network engineers could become modern jobs like Site Reliability Engineers (SREs), who combine infrastructure management with software engineering. While allowing faster deployment cycles, site reliability engineers (SREs) guarantee system dependability. Platform teams are a growing concept. Rather than each product team building every DevOps feature separately, a centralized platform team may design and manage common tools and services, including CI/CD pipelines or monitoring dashboards, therefore offering resources to the whole company.
- Cross-functional teams against departmental divisions: Conventional IT systems may separate teams depending on
 function: development, quality assurance, operations, security, etc. DevOps advocates the breakdown of silos to create
 cross-functional teams wherein members work on a shared project or goal. These teams may be approved to oversee the

complete lifecycle from development to deployment to monitoring which would speed up feedback and improve responsibility. This alteration has to be under great supervision. It could need adjustments in leadership style, reskilling, and fresh approaches to evaluating performance.

4. Cultural Transformation

Using DevOps in an established IT environment calls for a cultural change as much as a technical one. Often ingrained in legacy systems & processes are people acclimated to rigid hierarchies, negative attitudes toward change, and isolated their activities, therefore influencing their behavior. Using DevOps calls for a change in individual cooperation, communication, and the attitude. It calls for more than just the adoption of fresh tools or change of approach. Devops is essentially about tearing down barriers and pushing responsibility, teamwork, and more continuous development. This transformation calls for a careful, well-organized approach beginning at the highest level including all relevant parties.

4.1. Executive Support and Authority

4.1.1. Top-Down Support: Their Purpose

Inside any firm, leadership begins cultural transformation. Should top executives and CEOs not actively support the DevOps effort, it is most likely to fail before it even begins. Under traditional IT models, middle management or technical teams are sometimes assigned transformation tasks without any guarantee of alignment with many corporate goals. This approach sends mixed signals and encourages grassroots resistance. Leaders giving public support for DevOps give it credibility. Their involvement ensures teams that this is a strategic need rather than a passing project. Whether via DevOps stand-up participation, supporting experimental projects, or matching these incentive structures with team goals, this support has to be clear and continuous.

4.1.2. Leadership Styles Promoting Change

Not all leaders help to bring about cultural transformation. Leaders who lean authoritarian or laissez-faire might unintentionally stifle development. Since servant leadership entails leaders who remove obstacles, encourage experimentation & enable team growth, it is most successful in a DevOps context. Crucially also are transformational leaders, who stress vision, communication, and team inspiration. They help the conversation to move from "What benefits IT?" to "How does this benefit the entire organization?" Great leaders help teams to be flexible and grow by encouraging a shared vision and a trusting environment.

4.2. Team Empowerment

4.2.1. Ownership and Accountability

Teams in traditional IT companies generally wait for permissions, follow strict change control policies, and fear failure. DevOps flips that viewpoint. From operations to deployment, it encourages team ownership from development. Still, ownership entails responsibilities. Empowered teams have the capacity to make decisions, run tests & fix problems without always asking superiors permission. This suggests that they operate within carefully defined constraints and goals, not anarchy. Empowerment increases effectiveness, raises morale, and stimulates originality.

4.2.2. Team Key Performance Indicators and Goals

Development and the operations teams have often followed different objectives historically. Operations give stability first priority; developers desire fast deployment. This disparity creates conflict and assigns blame. Common key performance indicators (KPIs) help DevOps to resolve this difference. Together owned are metrics including deployment frequency, mean time to recovery (MTTR), change failure rate & customer satisfaction. These group goals ensure that everyone works toward a shared goal and help to align people. When success is measured in groups, natural collaboration results.

4.3. Methods for Managing Change

Changing a culture is both a methodical and intentional approach. Two great models—Kotter's 8-Step Process and the Prosci ADKAR model can help this effort.

4.3.1. Kotter's Eight-Step Method

For huge scale organizational reorganization especially, John Kotter's approach is very successful. The approach begins with a sense of urgency, therefore helping teams to understand the necessity of transformation. Then building a strong coalition of DevOps advocates helps to generate momentum. Once a strong vision is formed, communication becomes very vital. Teams must communicate often on the adjustments and their justifications. Early achievements such as quick issue fixes or successful automatic installations should be praised. These victories inspire confidence & solid loyalty.

Kotter emphasizes the importance of integrating the latest behaviors into the society to guarantee their permanency. This means constant reinforcement of DevOps ideas via policy, recognition, and leadership behavior. The Prosci ADKAR Framework ADKAR stands for Awareness, Desire, Knowledge, Ability, and Reinforcement. It stresses personal transformation, which is very vital throughout any other cultural shift.

- Awareness is helping every team member to see the importance of DevOps.
- Desire creates personal motivation to support change.
- Knowledge provides the required guidance and training for the application of the latest techniques.
- Capability assurances help people to operate in the changed surroundings.
- Through incentives, feedback, and coaching, reinforcement helps to solidify the advantages.

Using ADKAR in concert with Kotter helps companies to skillfully handle the corporate and personal sides of cultural growth.

4.4. Skills Improvement and Education

4.4.1. Certificate Significance e.g., DevOps Foundation

Cultural change calls for skill rather than just inspiration. Sometimes teams moving to DevOps lack knowledge of agile approaches, automation, or ideas of constant integration and deployment. Certifications like the DevOps Foundation or DevOps Leader help the company to create a common vocabulary and basic knowledge all around. These structured learning paths show the dedication of the company to its employees, provide best practices & help to define these expectations. While certifications are not the only route to expertise, they are very essential for standardizing knowledge and verifying skills.

4.4.2. Inside Development Operations Advocates

Among the best tools for promoting cultural change are internal advocates. Developers, testers, operations experts these people support DevOps and live by its values. They run tests, share learned skills, and provide many others mentoring. Champions are the link between team execution and leadership vision. Their local influence lessens resistance and mistrust. They develop over time become the cultural stewards of DevOps' core values.

5. CaseStudy: DevOpsTransformationina TraditionalEnterprise

5.1. Organization Background

- Executive Synopsis: An international insurance company with headquarters in the United States, employing more than 25,000 people and running in more than 30 countries, is the main actor in this case study. Originally founded in the early 1900s, it grew to be known for reliability, consistency, and lifelong customer relationships. The drawback of this success, however, was a strong reliance on antiquated technologies, rigid IT policies, and a bureaucratic system that hampered quick change.
- **Facing Difficulties:** The company's IT architecture evolved over years into a disjointed mix of systems, processes, and the organizational silos. Many other initiatives were carried out on outmoded servers or mainframes under the direction of many other different teams with limited interdepartmental interaction. Predictability and caution were emphasized in the corporate culture, which produced a slow and often difficult innovation process. Any change to infrastructure or software needed a waterfall-style permission procedure, so quick experimentation was almost impossible.

5.2. Pre-Transformation IT Landscape Problems Found

Digital native competitors offering insurance products via mobile apps and AI-driven claims processing begin to put a lot of pressure on the company by 2018. Internally, three basic difficulties were unavoidable.

- Sometimes software releases needed several many months to get from development to production. Rigorous change management techniques, human testing, and little automation caused significant delays.
- Development, operations, quality assurance, and the security functions all operated separately within many other teams. Knowledge was compartmentalized, technologies were fragmented, and communication was formal.
- Unplanned outages happened often, especially with the latest installations. These issues were diagnosed and resolved slowly, with incident response teams spending hours closely examining logs and dependencies.

These inefficiencies not only frustrated but also began to influence customer profitability and pleasure.

5.3. Conversion Method

- Beginning the Development: The CIO started a purposeful DevOps-based IT upgrading initiative for the business. Still,
 the leadership team understood that a thorough change could not be executed quickly. Beginning with pilot teams in key
 business sectors, they decided on a planned, progressive rollout.
- Staged Implementations with Pilot Teams: The first trial group included a cross-functional team assigned to monitor the corporate customer self-service portal, a well-known application with frequent unavailability and delayed upgrading history. The pilot focused on improving their collaboration, cutting lead time, and encouraging trust in automation. After first showing signs of success, the company expanded the initiative to many other customer-facing applications and created dedicated DevOps teams of developers, operational workers, testers, security experts working in agile sprints.

• Adoption of a Toolchain:

- An important component of the transformation was the toolkit's modernization.
- The teams automated builds and used Jenkins for ongoing integration.
- Ansible for configural management & infrastructure as code.
- GitHub for group reviews and source code version control
- Docker helps to containerize, hence improving consistency from development to production.
- New Relic with Prometheus for rapid observation and alerting

This toolchain improved visibility throughout the development life, encouraged consistency, and replaced depending on hand processes.

5.4. Difficulties in Cultural Opposition

One big obstacle was cultural resistance. Many other long-serving employees voiced doubts about DevOps, seeing it as either a temporary phenomena or a danger to their jobs. While developers showed reluctance to accept these responsibilities like deployment and monitoring, the operations teams voiced great concern about the reduction of their centralized authority. The answer was leadership funding change management. They persuaded teams that the goal was not job substitution but rather the empowering of individuals to create outstanding achievements, therefore facilitating workshops to clarify the logic behind DevOps and showcasing individual success stories.

• Combining Tools and Legacy Systems: One major obstacle was integrating the latest technology with the current systems of the business. Some necessary applications were closely connected to many outdated databases unfit for continued release. Many times, automation has to be created especially to link modern and antique systems. The team's expectations and the tools' capabilities disagreed with one another. Early Jenkins pipelines caused user irritation by means of insufficient training and poor scripting standards.

5.5. Acquired Results Operational Improvement Strategies

- After the pilot began eighteen months ago, the company experienced notable improvements:
- Frequency of Deployment: Increased for important applications from every 3–6 months to every 2–3 weeks.

- Lead Time for Modifications: Average of less than 7 days instead of over 60 days.
- Improved observability and faster rollback capabilities have caused a mean time to recovery (MTTR) drop more than half.

5.5.1. Team and Cultural Measurements

Surveys sent across DevOps teams revealed higher sense of ownership and more job satisfaction. Workers reported more empowerment in decision-making and the experimentation, and inter-team communication as much improved. Organistically, there was a shift of view. DevOps methods are now considered not just as an IT effort but also as a tool for supporting corporate goals. This cultural shift set the groundwork for a more significant internal corporate transformation.

5.6. Notes of Insight Attained

- Start little, then expand wisely: Beginning a sensible, high-impact pilot project was very vital for success. This approach helped the company to improve its plan before it grew and gave specific success stories for internal promotion.
- Give personal investments first priority instead of just those for tools: Beyond simple technology adoption, DevOps is about empowering people. Gaining support and acceptance required inclusive change management, open communication, and ongoing training as well as a clear message.
- Respect the Legacy. Even as we build the future: The realization was that previous systems would not vanish suddenly. The company used a hybrid approach including DevOps ideas into many other current systems instead of forcing radical changes along with a consistent upgrade timeline.
- Metrics are really important: By means of well defined, important metrics, teams may track development, identify areas of weakness, and show value to relevant stakeholders. This included not just technical KPIs but also cultural benchmarks such team morale and frequency of collaboration.
- **Devops is an ongoing path instead of a fixed endpoint:** The change is in development. The company keeps changing its strategies, testing new technologies, and improving its operating model although two years have passed. The main focus is on the commitment to constant improvement and adaptability in a changing surroundings.

6. Conclusion

6.1. Bridging the Gap – Culture Before Code

Examining how DevOps culture may be incorporated into these traditional IT systems has produced some quite interesting results. Changing to DevOps marks not just a technological improvement but also a significant cultural transformation. Though pipelines, tools, and automation rule the conversation, the core of DevOps is people their teamwork, communication, and shared accountability for producing their value. Often defined by rigid hierarchies and segregated teams, conventional IT systems require more than simply software upgrades to really embrace DevOps. Promoting flexibility, transparency, and group accountability calls for a change of the perspective.

Another important realization is the importance of strategic alignment. Using DevOps techniques without matching them with many corporate goals results in scattered efforts and unsatisfied expectations. Leaders have to ensure that all cultural & the procedural changes made within the IT ecosystem line with main other corporate goals. This means that rather than optional, crossfunctional collaboration is absolutely necessary. Product, operations, security, and leadership must line up to define success not just as quick deployments but also as more important customer outcomes. When strategy and culture complement one another, DevOps may thrive even in legacy environments.

In the end, even if systems may be replaced and tools traded, culture endures. As such, good DevOps transformation depends more on people than on tools. While conventional IT teams would want well defined roles and strict change control policies, actual agility calls for psychological safety, open feedback systems & the guts to stray from accepted wisdom. Cultural change is a process of trust building, experimentation, and endurance rather than a flash event. Still, the advantages are really significant: faster invention, less traffic, and a stronger team purpose.

In essence, including DevOps into traditional IT is about creating a relationship rather than about demolishing the old to build the latest. A bridge allowing more dynamic, cooperative, and responsive technological environments while honoring the legacy of current systems. Teams developing the capacity to listen, adapt & grow together will lead enduring change rather than automated scripts or CI/CD pipelines. Culture is fundamental; when that basis is strong, all following components tools, techniques, results can line up precisely.

References

- [1] Yarlagadda, Ravi Teja. "Understanding DevOps & bridging the gap from continuous integration to continuous delivery." International Journal of Emerging Technologies and Innovative Research (www. jetir. org), ISSN 2349.5162 (2018): 1420-1424.
- [2] Katal, Avita, Vinayak Bajoria, and Susheela Dahiya. "DevOps: Bridging the gap between Development and Operations." 2019 3rd International Conference on Computing Methodologies and Communication (ICCMC). IEEE, 2019.
- [3] Veluru, Sai Prasad, and Mohan Krishna Manchala. "Federated AI on Kubernetes: Orchestrating Secure and Scalable Machine Learning Pipelines". *Essex Journal of AI Ethics and Responsible Innovation*, vol. 1, Mar. 2021, pp. 288-12
- [4] Virmani, Manish. "Understanding DevOps & bridging the gap from continuous integration to continuous delivery." *Fifth international conference on the innovative computing technology (intech 2015)*. IEEE, 2015.
- [5] Nielsen, Pia Arentoft, Till J. Winkler, and Jacob Nørbjerg. "Closing the IT development-operations gap: The DevOps knowledge sharing framework." *Joint Proceedings of the BIR 2017 pre-BIR Forum, Workshops and Doctoral Consortium*. CEUR, 2017.
- [6] Davis, Jennifer, and Ryn Daniels. *Effective DevOps: building a culture of collaboration, affinity, and tooling at scale.*" O'Reilly Media, Inc.", 2016.
- [7] Arugula, Balkishan, and Sudhkar Gade. "Cross-Border Banking Technology Integration: Overcoming Regulatory and Technical Challenges". *International Journal of Emerging Research in Engineering and Technology*, vol. 1, no. 1, Mar. 2020, pp. 40-48
- [8] Datla, Lalith Sriram, and Rishi Krishna Thodupunuri. "Designing for Defense: How We Embedded Security Principles into Cloud-Native Web Application Architectures". *International Journal of Emerging Research in Engineering and Technology*, vol. 2, no. 4, Dec. 2021, pp. 30-38
- [9] Mohammad, Abdul Jabbar. "AI-Augmented Time Theft Detection System". *International Journal of Artificial Intelligence, Data Science, and Machine Learning*, vol. 2, no. 3, Oct. 2021, pp. 30-38
- [10] Tanikonda, Ajay, et al. "Integrating AI-Driven Insights into DevOps Practices." Journal of Science & Technology 2.1 (2021).
- [11] Sai Prasad Veluru. "Hybrid Cloud-Edge Data Pipelines: Balancing Latency, Cost, and Scalability for AI". *JOURNAL OF RECENT TRENDS IN COMPUTER SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING (JRTCSE)*, vol. 7, no. 2, Aug. 2019, pp. 109–125
- [12] Talakola, Swetha. "Challenges in Implementing Scan and Go Technology in Point of Sale (POS) Systems". *Essex Journal of AI Ethics and Responsible Innovation*, vol. 1, Aug. 2021, pp. 266-87
- [13] Jani, Parth, and Sangeeta Anand. "Apache Iceberg for Longitudinal Patient Record Versioning in Cloud Data Lakes". *Essex Journal of AI Ethics and Responsible Innovation*, vol. 1, Sept. 2021, pp. 338-57
- [14] Mohamed, Samer I. "DevOps shifting software engineering strategy Value based perspective." *International Journal of Computer Engineering* 17.2 (2015): 51-57.
- [15] Mohammad, Abdul Jabbar. "Sentiment-Driven Scheduling Optimizer". *International Journal of Emerging Research in Engineering and Technology*, vol. 1, no. 2, June 2020, pp. 50-59
- [16] Paidy, Pavan. "Testing Modern APIs Using OWASP API Top 10". Essex Journal of AI Ethics and Responsible Innovation, vol. 1, Nov. 2021, pp. 313-37
- [17] Hart, Michael, and John Burke. "An exploratory study on the DevOps IT alignment model." *Interdisciplinary Journal of Information, Knowledge & Management* 15 (2020).
- [18] Jani, Parth. "Integrating Snowflake and PEGA to Drive UM Case Resolution in State Medicaid". *American Journal of Autonomous Systems and Robotics Engineering*, vol. 1, Apr. 2021, pp. 498-20
- [19] Sangaraju, Varun Varma. "Ranking Of XML Documents by Using Adaptive Keyword Search." (2014): 1619-1621.

- [20] Maroukian, Krikor, and Stephen Gulliver. "Exploring the link between leadership and Devops practice and principle adoption." *Advanced Computing: An International Journal* 11.4 (2020).
- [21] Kupunarapu, Sujith Kumar. "AI-Enhanced Rail Network Optimization: Dynamic Route Planning and Traffic Flow Management." *International Journal of Science And Engineering* 7.3 (2021): 87-95.
- [22] Datla, Lalith Sriram, and Rishi Krishna Thodupunuri. "Applying Formal Software Engineering Methods to Improve Java-Based Web Application Quality". *International Journal of Artificial Intelligence, Data Science, and Machine Learning*, vol. 2, no. 4, Dec. 2021, pp. 18-26
- [23] Arugula, Balkishan. "Change Management in IT: Navigating Organizational Transformation across Continents". *International Journal of AI, BigData, Computational and Management Studies*, vol. 2, no. 1, Mar. 2021, pp. 47-56
- [24] Díaz, Jessica, et al. "Why are many businesses instilling a DevOps culture into their organization?." *Empirical Software Engineering* 26 (2021): 1-50.
- [25] Veluru, Sai Prasad. "Threat Modeling in Large-Scale Distributed Systems." *International Journal of Emerging Research in Engineering and Technology* 1.4 (2020): 28-37.
- [26] Atluri, Anusha, and Teja Puttamsetti. "Mastering Oracle HCM Post-Deployment: Strategies for Scalable and Adaptive HR Systems". *American Journal of Autonomous Systems and Robotics Engineering*, vol. 1, Apr. 2021, pp. 380-01
- [27] Jani, Parth. "Embedding NLP into Member Portals to Improve Plan Selection and CHIP Re-Enrollment". *Newark Journal of Human-Centric AI and Robotics Interaction*, vol. 1, Nov. 2021, pp. 175-92
- [28] Talakola, Swetha. "Automation Best Practices for Microsoft Power BI Projects". *American Journal of Autonomous Systems and Robotics Engineering*, vol. 1, May 2021, pp. 426-48
- [29] Sangeeta Anand, and Sumeet Sharma. "Leveraging ETL Pipelines to Streamline Medicaid Eligibility Data Processing". American Journal of Autonomous Systems and Robotics Engineering, vol. 1, Apr. 2021, pp. 358-79
- [30] Williams, David. "DevOps Cultural Changes and Their Impact on IT Teams." (2019).
- [31] Arugula, Balkishan. "Implementing DevOps and CI CD Pipelines in Large-Scale Enterprises". *International Journal of Emerging Research in Engineering and Technology*, vol. 2, no. 4, Dec. 2021, pp. 39-47
- [32] Ali Asghar Mehdi Syed. "Cost Optimization in AWS Infrastructure: Analyzing Best Practices for Enterprise Cost Reduction". JOURNAL OF RECENT TRENDS IN COMPUTER SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING (JRTCSE), vol. 9, no. 2, July 2021, pp. 31-46
- [33] Sangaraju, Varun Varma. "AI-Augmented Test Automation: Leveraging Selenium, Cucumber, and Cypress for Scalable Testing." *International Journal of Science And Engineering* 7 (2021): 59-68
- [34] Aljundi, Mohamed Khaled. "Tools and practices to enhance DevOps core values." (2018).
- [35] Mohammad, Abdul Jabbar, and Waheed Mohammad A. Hadi. "Time-Bounded Knowledge Drift Tracker". *International Journal of Artificial Intelligence, Data Science, and Machine Learning*, vol. 2, no. 2, June 2021, pp. 62-71
- [36] Datla, Lalith Sriram, and Rishi Krishna Thodupunuri. "Methodological Approach to Agile Development in Startups: Applying Software Engineering Best Practices". *International Journal of AI, BigData, Computational and Management Studies*, vol. 2, no. 3, Oct. 2021, pp. 34-45
- [37] Wiedemann, Anna, et al. "A control-alignment model for product orientation in DevOps teams—A multinational case study." (2019).
- [38] Yasodhara Varma Rangineeni, and Manivannan Kothandaraman. "Automating and Scaling ML Workflows for Large Scale Machine Learning Models". *JOURNAL OF RECENT TRENDS IN COMPUTER SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING (JRTCSE*), vol. 6, no. 1, May 2018, pp. 28-41
- [39] Atluri, Anusha. "Redefining HR Automation: Oracle HCM's Impact on Workforce Efficiency and Productivity". *American Journal of Data Science and Artificial Intelligence Innovations*, vol. 1, June 2021, pp. 443-6
- [40] Paidy, Pavan. "Zero Trust in Cloud Environments: Enforcing Identity and Access Control". *American Journal of Autonomous Systems and Robotics Engineering*, vol. 1, Apr. 2021, pp. 474-97
- [41] Hemon, Aymeric, et al. "From agile to DevOps: Smart skills and collaborations." *Information Systems Frontiers* 22.4 (2020): 927-945.
- [42] Kupunarapu, Sujith Kumar. "AI-Enabled Remote Monitoring and Telemedicine: Redefining Patient Engagement and Care Delivery." *International Journal of Science And Engineering* 2.4 (2016): 41-48.
- [43] Hamunen, Joonas. "Challenges in adopting a Devops approach to software development and operations." (2016).